## Case 1:20-cv-00298-GHW Document 22 Filed 06/11/20 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V
MICHAEL SAMBORSKY,	:
Plaintiff, -against-	:
agamot .	:
SARA ROTHSTEIN, doing business as 32BJ	:
Benefit Funds, ALBERTA GALDRI, doing business as 32BJ Benefit Funds, and REGINE	
32BJ Benefit Funds,	:
	:
Defendants.	:

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: \_\_\_
DATE FILED: 6/11/2020

1:20-cv-00298-GHW

**ORDER** 

GREGORY H. WOODS, United States District Judge:

On June 11, 2020, Plaintiff Michael Samborsky submitted his opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. Dkt No. 21. In his opposition, Samborsky renews his request for *pro bono* counsel. *See* Dkt No. 10. That application is again denied without prejudice to renewal at a later date. There is insufficient information before the Court at this time to make the necessary assessment of plaintiff's claims under the standards set forth by the Second Circuit in *Hodge v. Police Officers*, including whether plaintiff's claim is "likely to be of substance." 802 F.2d 58, 61-62 (2d Cir. 1986). The Court will address the application after it rules on Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Mr. Samborsky by first-class

## 

and certified mail.	
SO ORDERED.	
Dated: June 11, 2020	Jy A Wood
5	GREGORDH. WOODS
	United States District Judge